

The Making of LEAP

Lessons Learned

Mark McConnell

UNH / SwRI **LEAP** Principal Investigator

AAS 241 – January 10, 2023

LEAP Fact Sheet

Primary Institutions

University of New Hampshire (UNH)

- Principal Investigator
- LEAP Polarimeter Modules (LPMs)
- Passive Shield Assembly (PSA)
- Instrument Operations •

Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC)

- **Deputy Principal Investigator**
- Project Management
- Project Systems Engineering
- Project Scientist
- Safety and Mission Assurance
- Power Adapter Box (PAB)

University of Alabama (UAH)

- Ground Segment Lead •
- Science Operations

Southwest Research Instutute (SwRI)

- Instrument Project Management
- Instrument Systems Engineering
- LPM Digital Electronics
- Central Electronics Box (CEB)

Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE)

- Instrument Deck
- Supporting Truss Structure
- Payload Integration & Test

LEAP is a straightforward, low-risk, passive instrument that is readily accomodated on the ISS.

Quick Facts

- Polarimetry: 50-500 keV
- Spectroscopy: 20 keV-5 MeV
- Field-of-view: $\pm 75^{\circ}$ (1.5 π sr) • Fixed pointing, near-zenith
- GRB localization: $< 5^{\circ} (1\sigma)$
- for brightest events
- Payload mass (CBE): 327 kg
- Average power (CBE): 207 W
- Launch ready date: Dec 2027
- Launch vehicle: Falcon 9 / Dragon (or similar CRS2 vehicle)
- Robotic installation on ISS
- Compatible with several zenith-viewing *ISS* sites
- COL-SOZ is baselined
- Mission Duration: 3 years



3d printed LPM model



Payload Description



A scaled-down prototype has been used to demonstrate the polarimeter characteristics











LEAP is a GRB polarimeter project that is currently in a Phase A Concept Study.

The CSR is due in June with selection expected early next year.

Project Overview













Earliest Publication

McConnell, M. L., Forrest, D. J., Levenson, K. & Vestrand, W. T. The design of a gamma-ray burst polarimeter. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **280**, 1142–1146 (1993).



"The simplicity of this design, along with its minimal pointing and service requirements, would make such an experiment ideally suited for deployment on Space Station Freedom."

This was 10 years before the first report of GRB polarization by Coburn & Boggs (2003) and 5 years before the launch of the first components of ISS (1998).





GRAPE Balloon Program

Funded since the 1990's, the goal of this program has always been to use long duration balloon flights to measure GRB polarization.



64 elements (8x8) 5 mm x 5 mm x 50 mm single MAPMT

2023



245 elements (7x7x5) 12.5 mm x 12.5 mm x 12.5 mm 245 SiPMs













Before LEAP (the "POET Era")





2008 – POET **SMEX** Proposal

2012 – PETS MoO Proposal















2014 – POET SMEX Proposal

LEAP





2016 – LEAP MoO Proposal

2019 – LEAP MoO Proposal















2021 – LEAP MoO Proposal

What can we learn about mission proposals from this experience?

| Year | Project | Туре | Rating | Notes |
|------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 2008 | POET | SMEX | Category II | Included low-e |
| 2012 | PETS | MoO – ISS | program not funded | Separate instru |
| 2014 | POET | SMEX | Category IV | Included low-e |
| 2016 | LEAP | MoO – ISS | Category II | Single instrum |
| 2019 | LEAP | MoO – ISS | Category I – Phase A | Single instrum |
| 2021 | LEAP | MoO - ISS | Category II – Phase A | Single instrum |











- nergy polarimeter (GSFC)
- ument for localization
- nergy polarimeter (GSFC)
- ent (with MSFC)
- ent (with MSFC)
- ent (with MSFC)

Science Traceability Matrix

| LEAP | LEAP | Scientific Measurement Requirements | | Instrument Functional | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Science Goal | Science Objectives | Physical parameters | Observables | Requirements | |
| | LEAP determines the jet magnetic field structure (ordered or random) LEAP determines the jet composition (dominated by matter or Poynting flux) LEAP determines the jet energy dissipation precess (internal | Number of GRBs | GRB Prompt Emission | FoV | ±75° (1.5 π) |
| | | | | Burst Triggers (in 3-year mission) | 300 |
| The LEAP | | GRB Time History | Photon Arrival Time | Absolute Time Accuracy | 1 ms |
| science goal is to improve our | | GRB Localization | Photon Arrival Direction | Localization Error (1ơ) for polarization analysis of GRBs with < 30% MDP | <15° for all zenith angles in the FOV |
| understanding of | | | | Localization error (1 σ) for GRB follow-up | <5° |
| astrophysical iets and the | shocks or reconnection) | GRB Spectrum (incl. E _p) | Photon Energy | Energy Range | 20 keV – 1 MeV |
| environment | 4. LEAP determines the prompt emission | | | Energy Bins (E _{max} - E _{min})/ΔE _{FWHM} | 8 |
| surrounding | 5 LEAP enables ranid | GRB Polarization | Photon Polarization | Energy Range | 50 – 300 keV |
| compact objects. | community follow-up to better understand GRBs and their environments. | | | Polarization Measurements < 30% MDP in 3 years | 65 GRBs |
| | | | | Energy Bins (E _{max} - E _{min})/ΔE _{FWHM} | 3 |
| | | | | Time Resolution | 0.1 s |

This must be clearly demonstrated in the Science Traceability Matrix, which shows how the mission design is driven by the science.













The project must be driven by the science, not by an instrument in need of an application.

Well-Defined Science Objectives

The original "Toma plot" was developed for the 2008 POET proposal. Although a powerful tool, the ability to distinguish between models was not well-quantified.

"POET will accumulate GRB polarization measurements at a rate of ~50/year, permitting studies that will distinguish between the geometric and physical models. Given a sufficiently large number of events, it may even be possible to distinguish between the two geometric models (SR and CD)."



Toma, K. et al. Statistical Properties of Gamma-Ray Burst Polarization. Ap. J., 698, 1042–1053 (2009).



Well-Defined Science Objectives

The science objective(s) must be well-defined in terms of knowledge to be gained and the measurements that are required. It is not sufficient to say that "LEAP will study GRBs."

"The investigation will distinguish between Poynting-flux dominated (SO) and matter-dominated (SR, CD) classes of models at 99.99% CL and to further distinguish between two matter-dominated classes (SR, CD) at 95% CL. This requires 65 GRBs measured with a minimum detectable polarization (MDP) of 30% or better."









ELEDYNE

Achievable Science Objectives

The ability to achieve the science objective(s) must be quantified. Don't have objectives which are not quantified.











TELEDYNE

Achievable Science Objectives

The ability to achieve the science objective(s) must be quantified. An understanding of the error budget can influence the instrument design and help to define the simulation and calibration plans.











Having good lab data is crucial, but on-orbit measurements can be very different. Showing that you understand the error budget demonstrates that you understand the instrument.

Breadth of Science

Broad science objectives can be important, especially for larger missions. More focused objectives may work for smaller missions.

A GRB polarimetry mission is too narrowly focused for a MIDEX and maybe also a SMEX mission. Even as a MoO, it is important to insure broader science capabilities.

Any additional science objectives need to be quantified.













Gravitational

Waves

Solar

Flares



ELEDYNE

Be Careful About the "Christmas Tree"

It may be better to make fewer measurements with better sensitivity rather than more measurements with limited sensitivity.

Again, let the science drive the mission design.







Well-Established Technology



Proposing to use new technology can be a really big risk, which must have a good plan for TRL advancement.

LEAP detector elements (> 1000 of them) are based on PMT scintillator technology. The use of SiPMs is a good alternative, but they carry a significant risk for radiation damage.















Don't Overstate the Heritage

Heritage is important, but the case for heritage should make clear and direct connections to the current project. It should not be overstated.















Heritage includes not just technology, but also individual and institutional experience.

The Right Team

Assembling the right team is crucial.

- > 30 years of experience in building high \bullet energy polarimeters
- > 30 years of experience in building and ulletoperating GRB detectors on orbit
- > 30 years of experience in the simulation of \bullet orbiting gamma-ray detectors
- extensive experience in fabricating and ulletoperating space missions
- extensive experience in developing missions ulletfor ISS











The team must bring together the proper experience and needed resources.

The team should not be any larger than needed.

Proposal Inflation

Explorer-Class proposals are not cheap, especially good ones. Proposals have become more and more costly over the years, as teams attempt to out-do one another, and more seems to be expected by the review teams.

- Most (if not all) universities are hard-pressed to provide the necessary resources on their own. Government and commercial entities are needed to fill the gap.
- Be careful about "putting off until tomorrow what can be done today." Saying that a particular issue will be studied later can result in a weakness during the review.









Finally... Other Useful Things

- PERSISTENCE (stubbornness?) ${}^{\bullet}$
- Lots of friends to provide support and encouragement
- Being able to figure out when to give \bullet up and move on to something else

















A 3d-printed full-scale model of one LEAP Polarimeter Module.